CAEP Assessment Cover Form	edTPA – Average Total Scores, Average Rubric Scores and Average Scores by InTASC Category
Administration and Purpose	"Developed by subject-specific faculty design teams and staff at SCALE with input from hundreds of teachers and teacher educators from across the country, edTPA is the first nationally available, educator-designed support and assessment system for teachers entering the profession. It provides a measure of teacher candidates' readiness to teach that can inform licensure, accreditation decisions, and program completion. Most importantly, edTPA is an educative assessment that supports candidate learning and preparation program renewal" (2019 edTPA Administrative Report, December 2021). The Ohio Department of Higher Education encourages EPPs to use the edTPA, though currently it is not mandated. We have required the edTPA since Spring 2013, with candidates prior to that participating in the national pilot. Candidates take edTPA during their student teaching experience.
Informing Candidates	Candidates are informed of the edTPA requirement through several courses prior to student teaching. Class time and assignments use the edTPA structure and language in part to help candidates understand and prepare for the complexities of the assessment. A mock edTPA task assignment occurs in methods courses the semester prior to student teaching. Significant time is dedicated to the edTPA in the student teaching seminar as well. Starting in Spring 2022, student teachers attend a full-day edTPA work session during their student teaching submission window. Candidates typically receive their score reports about 3 weeks after they submit, with general feedback provided from the anonymous scorers.
Content of Assessment	The Stanford Center for Assessment, Learning and Equity (SCALE) developed the edTPA program which is operationalized by Pearson. It consists of three tasks: Planning, Instruction, and Assessment. The comprehensive nature of edTPA makes it an effective look at many aspects of teaching. SCALE created a Crosswalk that isolates selected edTPA rubrics as they correlate to InTASC Standards/Categories. This crosswalk was used to review how well candidates/completers met the InTASC Standards and is included at the end of this data chart.

Scoring	All scorers must go through a SCALE-developed training curriculum, and meet qualification standards. Scorers are anonymous, and external to the EPP. Each of the three tasks is assessed by five rubrics with a 1-to-5 score range, with 3 characterizing "ready to teach" (p. 3, 2019 edTPA Administrative Report, December 2021). Rubric scores are summed for an overall score, ranging from 15 to 75.
	Beginning in Spring 2021, the Ohio Department of Education and the OWU education department required all candidates to earn a minimum sum score of 37 on 15-point rubrics and 32 on 13-point rubrics. Candidates who do not meet this standard have one opportunity to resubmit one, two, or all three Tasks, as needed to meet the state required scores.
Data Validity or Survey Content	More than 430 institutions of higher education participated in the design, development, piloting, and field testing of edTPA from 2009 to 2013. Reliability and validity are presented in the 2014 and 2015 edTPA Administrative Reports. Further information is available on pages
Data Reliability or Data Quality	17-23 of 2016 edTPA Administrative Reports, November 2017, https://edtpa.org/resource_item/2016AR).
Comments	Candidates have been completing the edTPA as a program requirement for several years before Ohio determined edTPA could be used for licensure to replace the Assessment of Professional Knowledge Ohio Assessments for Educators exam. In Spring 2017, the program cut score was set to 35. When Ohio determined the cut score for licensure would be 37, OWU raised our score to meet the state required score.
	The tables below share cycles of data from 2021-2022, 2022-2023, and 2023-2024 where the minimum score is set to 37 and the edTPA is an option for licensure in Ohio.
	Due to low <i>N</i> s in each content specialty, scores are aggregated by program area for Middle Childhood, AYA, and Multi-Age programs. Thus, for the Middle Childhood licensure program, all the English, Social Studies, Science, and Math scores have been combined for a single Middle Childhood score; for the AYA program, all English, Social Studies, Science, and Math scores are averaged for a single AYA program score; and in the Multi-Age program, Music, Art, Drama, and World Language scores are averaged. The national and state averages are for all 15-rubric edTPAs holistically.

edTPA Average Total Scores & Average Rubric Scores												
	2023-2024		2022-2023 2		2021-2022		Program Average					
					Rubr							
	Score	Rubric	N	Score	ic	N	Score	Rubric	N	Score	Rubric	Total N
Elementary	44.25-	2.95-	3-	-	-		47	3.14	2	45.62	3	5
Inclusive Elementary	41.70	2.78	5	42	2.79	11	42	2.80	10	41.9	2.79	26
Middle Childhood	49-	3.27-	1-	-	_	-	54	3.60	1	51.5	3.43	2
AYA	41.12	2.91	4	44	2.93	4	41.2	2.75	9	42.11	2.86	17
Multi-Age	47.33	3.16	4	45	2.98	7	47	3.13	4	46.44	3.09	15
SPED	43	2.87	3	45	2.97	2	43	2.87	1	43.67	2.9	6
EPP	42.79	2.91	20	43.17	2.89	24	45.7	3.05	27	43.89	2.95	71
Ohio Comparison ^a	42.6	2.83	1,170	42.7	2.84	2,092	42.6	2.83	2,304	42.63	2.83	5,566
National Comparison ^b	43	2.85	15,43 8	43	2.85	16,915	43.10	2.87	18,865	43.03	2.86	51,218

^a edTPA State Performance Summary, Ohio for reporting periods January 2022-June 2022, January 2023-June 2023, and January-May 2024

^b edTPA National Performance Summary, for reporting periods January 2022-June 2022, January 2023-June 2023, and January-May 2024

Average edTPA Rubric Scores for								
InTASC Category One: The Learner and Learning								
	2023-2024 2022-2023 2021-2022 Prog Ave							
Elementary ^c	2.7	-	3.1	2.9				
Inclusive Elementary ^c	2.86	2.7	2.8	2.79				
Middle Childhood	3.4	-	3.5	3.45				
AYA	2.98	2.98	2.79	2.9				
Multi-Age	3.15	2.94	3.05	3.05				
SPED	2.92	3.1	3.1	3.04				
EPP Totals	2.96	2.93	3.05	2.98				
Ohio Comparison ^a	2.85	2.87	2.86	2.86				
National Comparison ^b	2.88	2.87	2.89	2.88				

Note: Data from edTPA Rubrics 1-9 and 14 are reflected here, per the alignment with InTASC Category 1. See chart below for edTPA rubric names and the specific InTASC Standards.

^aedTPA State Performance Summary, Ohio for reporting periods January 2022-June 2022, January 2023-June 2023, and January-May 2024

^b edTPA National Performance Summary, for reporting periods January 2022-June 2022, January 2023-June 2023, and January-May 2024

Average edTPA Rubric Scores (n) for InTASC Category Two: Content Knowledge							
	2023-2024 2022-2023 2021-2022 Program Average						
Elementary ^c	2.77	-	3.13	2.95			
Inclusive Elementary ^c	2.86	2.66	2.78	2.77			
Middle Childhood	3.4	-	3.5	3.45			
AYA	2.66	2.97	2.82	2.82			
Multi-Age	3.16	2.95	2.94	3.02			
SPED	2.90	3.13	3.13	3.05			
EPP Totals	2.97	2.93	3.05	2.98			
Ohio Comparison ^a	2.84	2.85	2.85	2.85			
National Comparison ^b	2.88	2.86	2.89	2.88			

Note: Data from edTPA Rubrics 1-4, 7-9, and 14 are reflected here, per the alignment with InTASC Category 2. See chart below for edTPA rubric names and the specific InTASC Standards.

^a edTPA State Performance Summary, Ohio for reporting periods January 2022-June 2022, January 2023-June 2023, and January-May 2024

^b edTPA National Performance Summary, for reporting periods January 2022-June 2022, January 2023-June 2023, and January to May 2024

Average edTPA Rubric Scores (n) for								
InTASC Category Three: Instructional Practice								
	2023-2024 2022-2023 2021-2022 Program Average							
Elementary ^c	2.73	-	3.19	2.96				
Inclusive Elementary ^c	2.93	2.83	2.84	2.87				
Middle Childhood	3.38	-	3.69	3.54				
AYA	2.77	2.94	2.77	2.83				
Multi-Age	3.18	2.99	3.15	3.11				
SPED	2.86	2.96	2.92	2.91				
EPP Totals	3.0	2.93	3.09	2.67				
Ohio Comparison ^a	2.85	2.86	2.84	2.85				
National Comparison ^b	2.89	2.87	2.89	2.88				

Note: Data from edTPA Rubrics 1-9, 11-13, and 15 are reflected here, per the alignment with InTASC Category 3. See chart below for edTPA rubric names and the specific InTASC Standards.

^a edTPA State Performance Summary, Ohio for reporting periods January 2022-June 2022, January 2023-June 2023, and January-May 2024

^b edTPA National Performance Summary, for reporting periods January 2022-June 2022, January 2023-June 2023, and January-May 2024

Average edTPA Rubric Scores (n) for **InTASC Category Four: Professional Responsibility Program** 2023-2024 2022-2023 2021-2022 **Average** Early Childhood 3.25 Elementary^c 2.83 3.04 Inclusive 2.8 2.86 2.75 2.80 Elementary^c Middle Childhood 2.5 3.5 3 AYA 2.34 2.75 2.5 2.53 MultiAge 3 3.07 3.38 3.15 2.75 2.5 SPED 2.75 2 2.79 2.86 2.90 2.85 **EPP Totals** Ohio Comparison ^a 2.7 2.7 2.8 2.73

Note: Data from edTPA Rubrics 10 and 15 are reflected here, per the alignment with InTASC Category 4. See chart below for edTPA rubric names and the specific InTASC Standards.

2.7

2.7

2.72

2.75

National

Comparison b

^a edTPA State Performance Summary, Ohio for reporting periods January 2022-June 2022, January 2023-June 2023, and January-May 2024

^b edTPA National Performance Summary, for reporting periods January 2022-June 2022, January 2023-June 2023, and January-May 2024





Summary Chart of the Crosswalk between edTPA and the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards

		InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards				
		Category 1:	Category 2:	Category 3:	Category 4:	
lmn.		The Learner and	Content	Instructional	Professional	
edTPA Tasks	edTPA Rubrics	Learning (Standards 1-3)	(Standards 4-5)	Practice (Standards 6-8)	Responsibility (Standards 9-10)	
Lashs	1: Planning for Content Understandings	2, 3	(Standards 4-5)	7,8	(Standards 9-10)	
	1: Flamming for content onderstandings	2, 3	4	7,0		
	2: Planning to Support Varied Student Needs	1, 2	4	7, 8		
-: 8º		4.0		_		
Task 1: Planning	 Using Knowledge of Students to Inform Teaching and Learning 	1, 2	4	7		
- 2	4: Identifying and Supporting Language Demands	1, 2	4, 5	8		
	5: Planning Assessments to Monitor And Support Student Learning	1		6, 8		
	6: Learning Environment	2, 3		8		
ion	7: Engaging Students in Learning	2, 3	4, 5	8		
uct Sk	8: Deepening Student Learning	3	4, 5	8		
Task 2: Instruction	9: Subject-Specific Pedagogy	3	4, 5	8		
_	10: Analyzing Teaching Effectiveness				9	
	11: Analysis of Student Learning			6		
t	12: Providing Feedback to Guide Learning			6		
Task 3: Assessment	13: Student Use of Feedback			6		
Ta	14: Analyzing Students' Language Use and Content Learning	1, 2	4, 5			
	15: Using Assessment to Inform Instruction			6, 7, 8	9	

^{© 2014} Stanford Center for Assessment, Learning and Equity